RUSSELL S. HUMPHREY, SBN 208744 1 HUMPHREY LAW GROUP 1420 S. Mills Ave., Ste. H 2 Lodi, CA 95242 Telephone: (209) 625-8976 3 Facsimile: (209) 625-8673 Email: russell@humphreylawgroup.net 4 Attorney for PLAINTIFF 5 FRANCISCA MORALEZ 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 12 Case No. FRANCISCA MORALEZ, 13 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND 14 INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: DENIAL OF PLAINTIFF, DISABLED ACCESS TO PUBLIC 15 FACILITIES; TITLE III OF THE v. AMERICANS WITH DISABLITIES 16 ACT OF 1990; VIOLATIONS OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT 17 CAL CIV. CODE § 51, et seq., LOS MOLCAJETES, CRESPIN THE CALIFORNIA DISABLED 18 PERSONS ACT CAL CIV. CODE § LIESELOTTE, 54, et seq. 19 20 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL DEFENDANTS. 21 22 23 24 25 I. SUMMARY 26 This is a civil rights action by PLAINTIFF FRANCISCA MORALEZ 27 (hereinafter "PLAINTIFF"), for discrimination at the building, 28

Moralez v. Los Molcajetes, et al. Plaintiff's Complaint

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

structure, property and land known as: LOS MOLCAJETES, 22154 Redwood Road, Castro Valley, Ca. 94546.

PLAINTIFF seeks damages, injunctive and declaratory relief, attorney fees and costs against the DEFENDANTS pursuant to the American with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C §12101 et seq. (hereinafter "ADA") and violations of the California Unruh Civil Rights Act, California Civil Code section 51 et seq., and the California Disabled Person's Act. (California Civil Code section 54 et seq.)

II. **JURISDICTION**

The United States District Court, Northern District of California, has original federal question jurisdiction of the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 for violations of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §12101 et seq. The Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over all state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367, because the state law claims arise out of the same case or controversy.

III. **VENUE**

All actions complained of herein take place within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court, Northern District of California, and venue is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b), (c). Additionally, the real property that is the subject of this

action is located in the United States District Court, Northern District of California.

IV. INTRADISTRICT

5. This civil case should be assigned to the Oakland intradistrict because the civil rights violations occurred in, and PLAINTIFF'S rights arose in, the Oakland intradistrict. PLAINTIFF will stipulate to a Voluntary Dispute Resolution Program.

PLAINTIFF consents to an early Settlement Conference.

V. PARTIES

- 6. DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES is a business establishment and restaurant open to the public with onsite public parking located at 22154 Redwood Road, Castro Valley, California 94546. DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES serves food and beverages during breakfast, lunch and dinner hours. DEFENDANT LOS MOLCJETES also provides catering services from the same location.
- 7. DEFENDANT CRESPIN LIESELOTTE (hereinafter "DEFENDANT LIESELOTTE") owns, operates, controls, manages, rents, leases or occupies the real property located at 22154 Redwood Road, Castro Valley, California 94546. PLAINTIFF believes DEFENDANT LIESELOTTE owns the real property and improvements where DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES is located.

15

16

12

13

26

25

27

28

PLAINTIFF is an American with severe physical impairments and is a "person with a disability" and a "physically handicapped person" pursuant to the rules and regulations of the ADA, 42 U.S.C \$12102 (2)(A); 28 C.F.R \$36.104, and related California statutes including California Civil Code §51, et seq., and §54, et seq. PLAINTIFF suffers from rhumatoid arthritis and needs the assistance of a wheelchair for assistance in her daily life activities. PLAINTIFF cannot walk without the assistance from her motorized wheelchair. She can, however, drive a motor vehicle and when she does she brings her motorized wheelchair with her to assist with her mobility.

VI. GENERAL ALLIGATIONS

DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES is a "public accommodation" as defined by 42 U.S.C §12181(7); 28 C.F.R. §36.104 and subject to the requirements of California Civil Code §51 and §52 since it is a business open to the public. DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES is open to the public whose sole purpose is intended for nonresidential commercial use and whose operation affects interstate commerce. On August 12, 2015, PLAINTIFF patronized DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES restaurant, where she suffered great difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment in her efforts to both access and use DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES' place of business. Whether through neglect, apathy or otherwise, DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES' facilities

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

were not compliant with numerous state or federal ADA rules and regulations.

- Although DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES has on-site parking available to patrons, the handicap parking thereon is not compliant with the state and federal rules.
- DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES failed to provide van accessible parking causing PLAINTIFF great difficulty parking and exiting her van. Required handicap parking signage was not properly marked and designated. "No Parking" signage was missing at the loading zone. There was essentially no disability parking at DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES.
- 13. PLAINTIFF entered the restaurant despite the condition of the parking lot. PLAINTIFF ordered food and ate lunch. At some point PLAINTIFF sought to use the restrooms. DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES failed to provide wheelchair access to fixtures and accessories in the bathroom as required by law causing humiliation and discomfort to PLAINTIFF in her efforts to use the facility in order to relieve herself. In fact, PLAINTIFF was unable to enter the woman's bathroom because it was totally inaccessible to her while she was in her wheelchair. PLAINTIFF was forced to use the men's restroom. The bathroom door handle was not compliant which made it difficult to open as PLAINTIFF entered. The bathroom light switch, paper towels, toilet seat covers and soap were improperly placed and inaccessible to PLAINTIFF because she could not reach them.

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The toilet was positioned too low for PLAINTIFF to use it as well. However, PLAINTIFF did the best she could do and used the restroom and exited.

- When PLAINTIFF exited DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES she noticed there were no tow away signs as required by law. PLAINTIFF also noticed that there was also no path of travel from the sidewalk to the building.
- On every date mentioned in this complaint, PLAINTIFF personally encountered physical barriers that interfered with, if not outright denied, PLAINTIFF'S ability to use and enjoy the goods, services, privileges and accommodations offered by DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES.
- These physical barriers violate the explicit statutory mandate to remove all barriers that are "readily achievable".
- PLAINTIFF seeks injunctive relief to require DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES and DEFENDANT LIESELOTTE to remove all architectural barriers related to her disabilities and to comply with the ADA and Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (hereinafter "ADAAG") contained in 28 CFR Part 36.
- 19. PLAINTIFF is deterred and forgoes visits to DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES based upon personal knowledge of existing barriers. PLAINTIFF understands she is not required to engage in the "futile gesture" of actually returning to the inaccessible place of public

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

accommodation, however, PLAINTIFF wishes to return to DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES once the physical barriers are eliminated.

VII. FIRST CLAIM

VIOLATION OF TITLE III OF THE ADA

- PLAINTIFF hereby incorporates and re-alleges, as if fully set forth herein, each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 19.
- Title III of the ADA, and the regulations promulgated thereunder, require that after January 26, 1992, all new construction and alterations of facilities for the use of the public be designed and constructed in such a manner that the public accommodation is readily accessible to, and usable by, individuals with disabilities.
- DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES discriminated, and each of them, against PLAINTIFF on the basis of PLAINTIFF'S disabilities and denied PLAINTIFF the opportunity to participate in, or benefit from, the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations, in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12182(a), 42 U.S.C. \$12182(b)(1)(A)(I).
- Moreover, DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES' conduct denied PLAINTIFF, on the sole basis of PLAINTIFF'S disabilities, the opportunity to participate in, or benefit from, a good, service, privilege,

24

25

26

27

28

advantage or accommodation that was equal to that afforded to other individuals in violation of 42 U.S.C. \$12181(b)(1)(A)(ii). Furthermore, DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES failed to provide PLAINTIFF with it's goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and accommodations in the most integrated setting appropriate to a disabled person's, including PLAINTIFF'S, individual needs in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12182(b)(1)(B). INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: PLAINTIFF seeks injunctive relief to prohibit the acts and omissions of DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES as complained of herein which have the effect of wrongfully discriminating against PLAINTIFF and other members of the public who are physically disabled from full and equal access to these public facilities. Such acts and omissions were the cause of humiliation and physical, mental, and emotional suffering of the PLAINTIFF in that these actions treated PLAINTIFF as an inferior and second-class citizen and served to discriminate against her on the sole basis that PLAINTIFF is, and at all times mentioned in this complaint was, a person with disabilities. PLAINTIFF is currently deterred from patronizing DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES and will be unable to achieve equal access to services and facilities at the public accommodation so long as such acts and omissions of DEFENDANTS continue. The acts of DEFENDANTS proximately caused irreparable injury to PLAINTIFF and will continue to cause

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 irreparable injury to PLAINTIFF and to other disabled persons if not enjoined by this District Court.

As of the date of the filing of this complaint, DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES continues to be in violation of state and federal ADA law in that the complained of property and business are, and continue to be, generally inaccessible for use by physically disabled persons such as PLAINTIFF. PLAINTIFF requests that an injunction be ordered requiring that the DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES take prompt action to modify the aforementioned policies and public facilities to render them accessible to and usable by PLAINTIFF, and thereby make DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES' services available to and usable by physically disabled persons, including PLAINTIFF. Wherefore, PLAINTIFF prays the District Court grant relief as

VIII. SECOND CLAIM

VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE §§ 51, et seq.

- PLAINTIFF hereby incorporates and re-alleges, as if fully set forth herein, each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 27.
- 29. California's Civil Code §51(b) requires that individuals with disabilities have "full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges or services in all establishments of every kind whatsoever." DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES is, and at all times

requested below.

mentioned in this complaint was, violating California Civil Code \$51 through DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES' failure to remove architectural and accessibility barriers to the public accommodations and also through the disparate treatment of PLAINTIFF.

- 30. DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES, complained of herein, located at 22154 Redwood Road, Castro Valley, Ca. 94546 is, and at all time mentioned in this complaint was, a "public accommodations" pursuant to the ADA and the California Civil Code.
- 31. PLANTIFF encountered accessibility barriers and was also subjected to disparate treatment while she patronized DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES' public accommodations.
- 32. DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES has, and at all times mentioned in this complaint had, architectural and accessibility barriers that limited access for disabled individuals, including PLAINTIFF. The accessibility denied PLAINTIFF, and other disabled persons to the equal access at DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES and its' accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, and services resulted in discrimination against PLAINTIFF on the sole basis of PLAINTIFF'S disabilities. DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES' violations are ongoing.
- 33. DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES' actions constitute intentional discrimination on the basis of PLAINTIFF'S disabilities in violation of the California Civil Code §51 in that: 1.) DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES and DEFENDANT LIESELOTTE owned, operated and

maintained public accommodations; 2.) DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES and DEFENDANT LIESELOTTE knowingly failed to remove architectural and access barriers; 3.) DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES and DEFENDANT LIESELOTTE subjected PLAINTIFF to disparate treatment when PLAINIFF was treated differently from other members of the public due to PLAINTIFF'S disabilities.

- 34. California Civil Code §52 provides that the discrimination by DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES and DEFENDANT LIESELOTTE against PLAINTIFF on the basis of PLAINTIFF'S disability constitutes a violation of the general anti-discrimination provisions of California Civil Code §51 and §52.
- 35. DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES and DEFENDANT LIESELOTTE discrimination constitutes a separate and distinct violation of California Civil Code §52, which provides that:

"Whoever denies, aids or incites a denial, or makes any discrimination or distinction contrary to California Civil Code \$51, \$51.5, or \$51.6 is liable for each and every offense for the actual damages, and any amount that may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damage but in no case less than four thousand dollars (\$4,000), and any attorney's fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights provided in \$51, \$51.5, or \$51.6."

37.

36. DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES and DEFENDANT LIESELOTTE knowingly violated California Civil Code §51 intentionally and/or with deliberate indifference to the likelihood that they were violating the rights of disabled people. In addition, DEFENDANTS' violations were so intuitive or obvious that noncompliance could not be other than intentional.

The acts and omissions of DEFENDANTS, as herein alleged,

constitute a denial of access to, and use of, the described public facilities by physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §51 and §52. As a proximate result of DEFENDANTS' acts and omissions, DEFENDANTS discriminated against PLAINTIFF in violation of California Civil Code §51 and §52.

38. Any violation of the ADA also constitutes a violation of California Civil Code §51(f), thus independently justifying an award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law. Per California Civil Code §51(f), "(A) violation of the right of any individual under the ADA (Public Law 101-336) shall also constitute a violation of this section."

39. Wherefore, PLAINTIFF prays the District Court grant relief as requested below.

IX. THIRD CLAIM

VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE § 54, et seq. - THE CALIFORNIA

DISABLED PERSONS ACT

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- PLAINTIFF hereby incorporates and re-alleges, as if fully set forth herein, each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 39.
- The California Disabled Persons Act, California Civil Code §§54 and 54.1, (hereinafter "CDPA"), guarantees people with disabilities full and free use of all public places and full and equal access to all places to which the public is invited.
- DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES and DEFENDANT LIESELOTTE are, and at all times mentioned in this complaint were, violating the rights of disabled persons, and violated the rights of PLAINTIFF, by denying her equal access to a public place through architectural barriers and disparate treatment.
- DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES and DEFENDANT LIESELOTTE own, operate, control and/or lease a "public place" within the meaning By failing to remove architectural barriers or to of the CDPA. provide reasonable accommodations to the PLAINTIFF, DEFENDANTS violated PLAINTIFF'S rights under the CDPA.
- The actions of the DEFENDANTS are, and at all times mentioned in this complaint were, in violation of the CDPA.
- PLAINTIFF is entitled to damages for each offense, and to declaratory relief under California Civil Code §54.3.
- PLAINTIFF is also entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under the CDPA.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

47. Wherefore, PLAINTIFF prays the District Court grant relief as requested below.

Х. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF prays the following:

- Issue a preliminary and permanent injunction directing the DEFENDANT LOS MOLCAJETES and DEFENDANT LIESELOTTE to modify the facilities and policies as required by law to comply with the ADA and ADA regulations, including the ADAAG where required; institute policy to enable PLAINTIFF to use goods and services offered to the non-disabled public so that DEFENDANTS provide adequate access to all citizens, including persons with disabilities; issue a preliminary and permanent injunction directing DEFENDANTS to maintain it's facilities usable by PLAINTIFF and similarly situated person with disabilities in compliance with federal regulations, and which provide full and equal access, as required by law; Retain jurisdiction over the DEFENDANTS until such time as the District Court is satisfied that DEFENDANTS' unlawful policies, practices, acts and omissions, and maintenance of inaccessible public facilities as complained of herein no longer occur, and will not recur;
- Award to PLAINTIFF all appropriate damages, including, but not limited to, statutory damages and general damages in an amount within the jurisdiction of the District Court, according to proof;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Award PLAINTIFF all litigation expenses and costs of this proceeding, including all reasonable attorney fees as provided by law; and
 Grant such other and further relief as this District Court may deem just and proper.

Date: SEPTEMBER 24, 2015.

/s/ Russell S. Humphrey
By: Russell S. Humphrey
Attorney for PLAINTIFF
FRANCISCA MORALEZ

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLAINTIFF hereby demands a jury pursuant to FRCP 38(b) on all issues of law raised in the complaint.

Date: SEPTEMBER 24,2015.

/s/ Russell S. Humphrey
By: Russell S. Humphrey
Attorney for PLAINTIFF
FRANCISCA MORALEZ